

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,682	09/19/2003	Kazutoshi Kaizuka	45144-00051	4073
7590 08/03/2006		EXAMINER		
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 14th Floor			MANAHAN, TODD E	
801 S. Figueroa Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/664,682	Kaizuka				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Todd E Manahan	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provid	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO state, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	5 June 2006					
· —	·	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey- rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	ge			
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15: 	2)			

10/664,682 Art Unit: 3732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 3045250.

Japanese Patent No. 3045250 discloses a hair curler A having an internal heater 3. The curler is "formed in tubed", i.e. cylindrical and made of a heat resistant resin mixed with crushed pearlite (see para. 006-007). The crushed pearlite contains a silicon dioxide and alumina, ferrite, and magnesia (far infrared emitting powders) (see table 1). Projections extend from the cylindrical body (see para. 006). A thermolabel is disposed on te4h cylinder to indicate the temperature of the curler (see para. 0011). The heat resistant resin is a polyester elastomer (see para. 007). The heat resistant resin is admixed with about 1-3% pearlite powder (see para. 009).

Application/Control Number:

10/664,682

Art Unit: 3732

The device further includes a base with a curler mount to provide electricity to the electric heater.

Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wong et al. (United States Patent No. 6,81,928).

Wong et al. disclose a hair curler having an internal heater (see figures 6A,6B and col. 5, lines 21-41). The curler is cylindrical and made of a heat resistant resin mixed with a multi-element mineral powder containing a silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide, iron oxide, titanium oxide, and magnesium oxide (far infrared emitting powders) (see col. 3, lines 11-29). The heat resistant resin is admixed with about 3-5% multi-element mineral powder (see col. 3, lines 54-61). The device further includes a base with a curler mount to provide electricity to the electric heater.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 26 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that neither Japanese Patent No. 3045250 nor Wong anticipate applicant's claimed invention because both disclose mixing "two materials", it is noted that applicant's claims are directed to a product-by-process. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) "[E]ven though product-by-

Art Unit: 3732

process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Applicant has not provided any such showing.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10/664,682

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E Manahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272 4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.E. Manahan 27 July 2006

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner